This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed in view of the Office

Action dated 26 April 2005. In the Official Action, the Examiner objected to the

Drawings under 37 C.F.R. § 1.83(a). The Examiner indicated that the "radar

sensor to be exposed to face a rear area behind the vehicle" recited in Claim 1, and

"a magnet" recited in Claim 6 must be shown in the Drawings or the features

cancelled from the Claims. Accordingly, the Applicant has cancelled those

features from the Claims. Additionally, the Applicant has removed all reference

numbers from the Claims and has amended some phrases therein to clarify the

recitation of the claimed subject matter. No new matter has been introduced by

these changes.

The Examiner indicated in the Official Action that Claims 1-8 would be

allowable if the objections to the Drawings were overcome. Thus, based on the

foregoing amendments, the Applicant now believes that the subject Patent

Application has been placed in a condition for allowance, and such action is

respectfully requested.

Page 5 of 6

MR929-940

Serial Number: 10/721,861

Reply to Office Action dated 26 April 2005

Respectfully submitted,

For: ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE

David R. Wood, Jr. Registration #53,868

Dated: 28 JONE 2005

Suite 101 3458 Ellicott Center Drive Ellicott City, MD 21043 (410) 465-6678